

APR Report—2016-2017

Section I: Program Description

IA1. Program (Select your program from the drop down list)

IA2. Other Program (If your program is not on the above list, write it in here)

IB. Program Lead (Your first and last name)

IC. Program Mission Statement

Provide the Program's Mission Statement.

ID. Program Summary

Provide a brief summary on the current status of the program being reviewed.

Section II: Looking Back—2015-2016**IIA. Present the Results** (Rubric Criterion 3)

Provide a descriptive summary of the outcomes from the 2015-2016 cycle of program review.

IIB. Probe the Results: I Wonder . . . (Rubric Criteria 1, 3)

In this section, judge whether the activities you implemented in 2015-2016 to reach your goals were effective. Did the activities have an effect on the outcome? Please describe WHY you believe your outcomes came out the way they did. Did you reach your goals? If yes, explain why. If you did not reach your goals, explain why.

IIC. Ideate Innovations: What if . . . (Rubric Criteria 1, 5)

In this section, describe activities you believe would have an effect on your 2016-2017 outcome measures.

Section III: Looking Forward—2016-2017

III. List Your 2016-2017 Goals—Be Quantitative!

List your 2016-2017 APR goals in terms of their expected changes on the outcome measures as indicated earlier. Each goal that requires resources, impacts other areas, or otherwise is substantive requires the submission of an APR Goal form. Keep in mind the scoring rubric criteria:

1. The relationship between program review narrative and the APR Goal is evident and strongly supported by evidence.
2. The APR Goal directly implements institutional planning document goals.
3. The outcome directly implements institutional planning outcomes, and is transferrable and/or scalable institutionally.
4. APR Outcome indicators, methods and/or timelines use institutional measures, transferrable/scalable institutionally
5. Before/after benchmarks and timelines are completely specified, identical methods, transferrable/scalable.

Section IV (Optional): Evaluation of Program Review and Planning Process

IVA. Evaluation of Program Review and Program Planning Process

In this cycle of program review, what aspects of the program review and program planning process worked best and why?

IVB. Evaluation of Program Review and Program Planning Process

In this cycle of program review, what aspects of the program review and program planning process would you change and why?